

### Problem Set 3

1. Construct the impulse response function for a third order difference equation:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \phi_3 y_{t-3} + w_t, \quad 1 < t < n,$$

where it is assumed that  $\{w_t\}_{t=1}^n$  is a sequence of deterministic numbers, say generated from  $N(0, 1)$ .

- (a) Set  $\phi_1 = 1.1$ ,  $\phi_2 = 0.8$ ,  $\phi_3 = 0.1$ ,  $y_0 = y_{-1} = y_{-2} = 0$ , and  $n = 150$ . Generate  $y_t$  using a third order difference equation for  $1 < t < n$ .
  - (b) Check whether impulse response function are converging or explosive?
  - (c) Construct the impulse response function for the generated  $y_t$ . Set the number of periods in the impulse response function to  $P = 25$ . Comment your results.
  - (d) Set  $\phi_1 = 1.71$  and repeat steps (a)-(c). Comment your results.
2. [Thurman and Fisher (1988, AJAE)] This problem is about "which came first: the chicken or the egg?" The data for the problem set was originally provided by Thurman and secondly by Roger Koenker, consists of annual time series 1930-1983 for U.S. egg production in millions of dozens and the December 1 USDA estimate of the US chicken population, (excluding broilers) (`eggchicken.txt`). However, the data seems to be a little different than that analyzed by original paper.
- (a) R code for the Granger causality is provided below. Write down the exact mathematical explanation for the code.

```
Thurman<-read.table("C:/eggchicken.txt", header=T)
year<-Thurman$year
egg<-Thurman$egg
chic<-Thurman$chic
library(ts)
year<-ts(year)
chic<-ts(chic)
egg<-ts(egg)
```

```

"granger" <-function(d, L, k = 1) {
names.d <- dimnames(d)[[2]]
D <- d
for(i in 1:L) {
D <-ts.intersect(D, lag(d, - i))
}
dimnames(D)[[2]] <- paste(rep(names.d, L + 1), "_",
rep(0:L, times = rep(2, L + 1)), sep = "")
y <- D[, k]
n <- length(y)
x1 <- D[, -(1:2)]
x0 <- x1[, ((1:L) * 2) - (k %% 2)]
z1 <- lm(y ~ x1)
z0 <- lm(y ~ x0)
S1 <- sum(z1$resid^2)
S0 <- sum(z0$resid^2)
ftest <- ((S0 - S1)/L)/(S1/(n - 2 * L - 1))
list(ftest = ftest, p.val = 1 - pf(ftest, L, n - 2 * L - 1),
R2 = summary(z1)$r.squared) }

granger(cbind(egg, chic), L=1)
granger(cbind(chic, egg), L=1)

```

- (b) Determine the causal relationship between eggs and chickens using the above R code. Note that VAR model should be stationary.
- (c) Perform the impulse response analysis and the forecasting error variance decomposition. Interpret the results.
3. Consider the OverSea Services Shipping Volume data (p.268). The data file is `fcst12input.dat` and has been uploaded in the course web pages. There are three time-series: (i) weekly realized volume; (ii) weekly 2-week-ahead quantitative forecasts (VOLQ); (iii) weekly 2-week-ahead judgemental forecasts (VOLJ).
- (a) Test whether VOLQ and VOLJ are unbiased or not. Note that the error term in the regression equation can be serially correlated.
- (b) Using Mincer-Zarnowitz regression test the optimality of the forecasts.
- (c) Test the equal accuracy hypothesis for VOLQ and VOLJ.
- (d) What are RMSEs of VOLQ and VOLJ?
- (e) Construct a new forecast using the forecasting combination. What is its RMSE? Compare this with those in (c).